## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
, www.uspio.gov

Richard L. Bigelow, Esq. 203 Tremont Street Newington, CT 06111

OCT 0 8 2008

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Larry W. Collum, et. al.

Application No. 10/604,787 : ON PETITION

Filed: August 17, 2003

Attorney Docket No. N/A

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed April 10, 2007, to revive the above-identified application. The delay in responding is regretted.

## The petition is **DISMISSED**.

A grantable petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b) must be accompanied by: (1) the required reply, unless previously filed; (2) the petition fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(m); (3) a statement that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional; and (4) any terminal disclaimer (and fee as set forth in 37 CFR 1.20(d)) required by 37 CFR 1.137(d). Where there is a question as to whether either the abandonment or the delay in filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.137 was unintentional, the Commissioner may require additional information. See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(C) and (D). The instant petition lacks item(s) (1).

The application became abandoned for failure to timely file a proper reply within the meaning of 37 CFR 1.113 to the final Office action mailed July 7, 2006. The proposed reply required for consideration of a petition to revive must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2)), an amendment that *prima facie* places the application in condition for allowance, a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) and submission (37 CFR 1.114), or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). See MPEP 711.03(c)(III)(A)(2). Since the amendment submitted on January 11, 2007, does not *prima facie* place the application in condition for allowance, the reply required must be a Notice of Appeal (and appeal fee), RCE, or the filing of a continuing application under 37 CFR 1.53(b). A courtesy copy of the Advisory Action is being mailed with this decision on petition.

Additionally, Office records show that petitioner submitted \$795 for a four month extension of time on January 11, 2007. However, an extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r. Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$795 extension of time was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, this fee is unnecessary and will be credited to petitioner's credit card.

Any request for reconsideration of this decision must be submitted within **TWO (2) MONTHS** from the mail date of this decision. Extensions of time under 37 CFR 1.136(a) are permitted. The reconsideration request should include a cover letter entitled "Renewed Petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b)." This is **not** a final agency action within the meaning of 5 U.S.C. § 704.

Further correspondence with respect to this matter should be addressed as follows:

By mail: Mail Stop PETITION

Commissioner for Patents

P. O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

By hand: U. S. Patent and Trademark Office

Customer Service Window, Mail Stop Petitions

Randolph Building 401 Dulany Street Alexandria, VA 22314

The centralized facsimile number is (571) 273-8300.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to the undersigned at (571) 272-

3226

Petitions Examiner
Office of Petitions

Enclosure: Courtesy Copy of the Advisory Action

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/604,787	COLLUM ET AL.			
Examiner	Art Unit			
Stephen L. Blau	3711			

	Stephen L. Blau	3711	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ers on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 11 January 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:</li> <li>The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b)</li> <li>The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acono event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later.</li> </ol>	ing replies: (1) an amendment, affice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mut of the final rejection.	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C ust be filed within one in the final rejection, wh	ice, which FR 41.31; or (3) of the following ichever is later. In
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70	b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	•	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extrunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the size forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in complian filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed.</li> </ol>	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS		20 4 4 4 4 1-	
<ol> <li>The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be</li> <li>They raise new issues that would require further core</li> <li>They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below</li> </ol>	sideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or</li></ul>	er form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.	•
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment	PTOL-324).
<ul> <li>5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed.</li> </ul>		ely filed amendment	canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	·		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	before or on the date of filing a No I sufficient reasons why the affiday	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to or showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fai ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ls to provide a l).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ned.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered but	does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
<ul> <li>12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (</li> <li>13. ☐ Other: See Continuation Sheet.</li> </ul>	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Stephen L. Blau/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 3711	

Continuation of 13. Other: The amendment dated 11 January 2007 is not in compliance with the procedures required to amend an application as stated in article 714.II.C (Manual of Patenting Examiner Procedures). 1). There is not a status identifier for all the claims. Claims 4, 9 and 12 have no status identifier. It appears that they should have a status of (previously amended). 2). Claim 8 has changes made from the last entered set of claims dated 9 April 2006 without the markings showing what was added or deleted. Claim 8 added the words --comprising a golf club head and a metallic shaft wherein-- and deleted the words "as described in claim 5 where" yet there are no strike throughs to show these deleted words or underlining showing the added words. 3). Claims 15-16 have an improper status identifiers. They should have the status identifier of "new". Due to this being an after final amendment the clock continues to run from the Final Action mailed 7/7/06.